UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

In re: Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation)))	MDL No. 1456
This Document Relates to:)	Civil Action No. 01-12257-PBS
U.S. ex rel. West v. Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc., et al., No. 03-8239 (N.D. III.)))) _)	Hon. Patti B. Saris

RELATOR'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS WEST'S CLAIM FOR "MARKETING THE SPREAD TO HOSPITALS"

A. INTODUCTION

Relator's Second Amended Complaint (SAC) alleges that Ortho-McNeil marketed a spread of up to 108% for the 500 mg dose of Levaquin IV. Specifically, the SAC alleges that between 1997 and 2000, Ortho-McNeil's reported AWP for a 500 mg dose of Levaquin IV was \$39.60; that by virtue of rebates, purchasers' per dose actual acquisition costs were as low as \$19; and that the difference between Ortho-McNeil's reported AWP and the actual acquisition cost created a spread of up to 108%. This easily satisfies Rule 9(b) as applied by this Court to AWP-based fraud claims. Because the SAC satisfies Rule 9(b) and the AWP pleading standard set forth in earlier orders in this MDL, Ortho-McNeil's motion to dismiss for failure to plead the fraudulent AWP must be denied.

B. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On February 19, 2008, this Court issued its Memorandum and Order on Ortho-McNeil's first and second motions to dismiss, which sought dismissal of the Relator's First Amended

Complaint ("FAC") for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, failure to state a claim under Rule 12(b)(6) and failure to plead the circumstances of Ortho-McNeil's fraud with the particularity required by Rule 9(b). The Court held, *inter alia*, that is has subject matter jurisdiction over the claim that Ortho-McNeil marketed the spread between the reported Average Wholesale Price ("AWP") of Levaquin and the Medicare reimbursement for Levaquin. Memorandum and Order dated February 19, 2008, Docket Entry 5056 ("Memorandum and Order").

In addition to determining its own subject matter jurisdiction, this Court also held that the FAC did not allege the AWP fraud with the specificity required by Rule 9(b) and this Court's AWP fraud pleading standard set forth in *In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation*, 307 F.Supp.2d 196 (D.Mass. 2004). Memorandum and Order at p. 43. This Court directed Relator to amend the FAC "to state with specificity the allegedly fraudulent spread" or face dismissal under Rule 9(b). Memorandum and Order at p. 46.

Relator then filed his SAC alleging that between 1997 and 2000 the reported AWP for 500 mg does of Levaquin IV was \$39.60; that by virtue of rebates Ortho-McNeil paid to purchasers, purchasers' actual acquisition costs were as low as \$19 per 500 mg dose of Levaquin IV; and that the difference between the reported AWP and the actual acquisition costs created a spread of up to 108%. Ortho-McNeil then filed the instant motion, again seeking dismissal of the AWP claims. Ortho-McNeil's motion is a classic straw man argument. It asserts – falsely that the AWP claims in the SAC relate *solely* to sales to hospitals, and then claims that dismissal is required because AWP is irrelevant to hospital reimbursement. This argument fails because the first proposition, that the SAC is limited to hospitals, is manifestly false. The SAC makes allegations with respect to "physicians and other medical providers" (SAC ¶ 62 and 63), "hospitals and other institutional providers" (SAC ¶ 72), and "purchasers" (SAC ¶ 76). Because

the SAC applies to all purchasers, not merely hospitals, Ortho-McNeil's argument that Medicare does not reimburse *hospitals* based on AWP cannot lead to dismissal of the AWP claim.

C. THE FACTS ALLEDGED IN THE SAC EASILY MEET THE FRAUDULENT AWP PLEADING STANDARD

On this motion to dismiss, the Court must accept as true all well-pleaded facts alleged in the SAC, including all reasonable inferences therefrom. *Clark v. Boscher*, 514 F.3d 107,112 (1st Cir. 2008) (citation omitted). A complaint survives Rule 12(b)(6) when it contains well-pleaded facts with enough heft to "show that [plaintiff is] entitled to relief." *Id.*, quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, --- U.S. ----, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 1959, 167 L.Ed.2d 929 (2007). Because this action arises under the False Claims Act, the SAC must also allege with particularity the circumstances constituting the fraud. Rule 9(b), Fed.R.Civ.P. Where, as here, the alleged false claims were submitted by third parties rather that Ortho-McNeil, "the relator need not allege the details of the particular claims, so long as 'the complaint as a whole is sufficiently particular to pass muster under the FCA." Memorandum and Order at p. 43; *U.S. ex rel. Rost v. Pfizer, Inc.*, 507 F.3d 720, 732 (1st Cir. 2007).

In the context of pleading AWP fraud, this Court has previously found that in order to satisfy 9(b), an AWP-based claim must allege "(1) the specific drug or drugs that were purchased from defendant, (2) the allegedly fraudulent AWP for each drug, and (3) the name of the specific plaintiff(s) that purchased the drug." *In re Pharm. Indus. Average Wholesale Price Litig.*, 307 F.Supp. 2d 198, 208 (D.Mass. 2004), *quoting In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation*, 263 F.Supp.2d. 172, 194 (D.Mass. 2003). The SAC meets this standard. The SAC alleges that "[b]eginning in 1997, Ortho-McNeil embarked on an aggressive strategy of paying kickbacks and unlawful remuneration to **physicians and other medical providers** to capture a share of the flouroquinoline market" and that "by late 2001, Levaquin held

approximately a 40% share" of that market. SAC at ¶ 62 (emphasis added). It alleges that "[f]rom 1997 to 2000, the Average Wholesale Price for 500 mg of Levaquin IV was \$39.60." *Id.* at ¶ 76. It alleges that "Ortho-McNeil employed a multi-tiered rebates system, whereby **purchasers** (such as hospitals) would pay the highest rate for 500 mg of Levaquin IV, which was \$32, throughout the year." *Id.* (emphasis added, parenthetical in original). The SAC further alleges that Ortho-McNeil paid rebates that resulted in "eight actual levels of pricing (\$32, \$31, \$29, \$27, \$25, \$23, \$21 and \$19), with lower prices being given to **purchasers** with larger market shares" *Id.* (emphasis added, parenthetical in original). The SAC further alleges that the spread "between what the **purchasers** paid for the 500 mg of Levaquin and the AWP exceeded 30% for all **purchasers** who paid from \$29 to \$19" and that "this 'spread' was 108% if the **purchaser** received the highest discounted rate of \$19 per dose." *Id.* (emphasis added).

In short, the SAC meets the fraudulent AWP pleading standard as to all purchasers – not just hospitals. The issue of whether the government has been injured by the submission of claims by hospitals pertains solely to the amount of damages the government has sustained.

F. Conclusion

The SAC alleges Ortho-McNeil committed AWP-related fraud in connection with marketing and sales not just to hospitals, but also to physicians, medical providers, other institutional providers, and purchasers. The SAC alleges (1) the specific drug that was purchased from defendant – here Levaquin, (2) the allegedly fraudulent AWP for each drug - here, an AWP of \$39.60 and a spread of 108%, and (3) the name of the specific plaintiff that purchased the drug – here the United States of America. Ortho-McNeil's motion must be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

SIMMONSCOOPER LLC

By: <u>/s/John A. Bruegger</u>

John A. Bruegger - #6278821 Kenneth J. Brennan 707 Berkshire Blvd. East Alton, Illinois 62024 (618) 259-2222 ~ Ext. 506

(618) 259-2251 ~ Fax

Email: jbruegger@simmonscooper.com Email: kbrennan@simmonscooper.com

George A. Zelcs - #3123738 KOREIN TILLERY 205 North Michigan Ave. Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 641-9750 tel (312) 641-9751 fax

Email: gzelcs@koreintillery.com

Donald M. Flack KOREIN TILLERY 505 North 7th Street, Suite 3600 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 241-4844 (314) 241-3525 ~ Fax dflack@koreintillery.com

Attorneys for Relator

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

John A. Bruegger, an attorney, hereby certifies that on May 1, 2008, he electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts using the Court's CM/ECF system.

A copy of the foregoing also has been served on May 1, 2008 on the following individuals by depositing a copy in the U.S. mail, first-class postage pre-paid:

United States Attorney's Office 219 South Dearborn Street Suite 500 Chicago, IL 60604

Mary Louise Cohen Phillips & Cohen LLP 2000 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Erika A. Kelton Phillips & Cohen LLP 2000 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Timothy D. Nimrod Gregory Hooggasian, Esq. Medicaid Fraud Control Unit 100 W. Randolph St. 12th Floor Chicago, IL 60601

Lisa Madigan Attorney General of Illinois 100 West Randolph Street 12th Floor Chicago, IL 60601

Charles J. Crist, Jr. The Capitol PL-01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

M Jane Brady Carvel State Office Building Consumer Protection-5th Floor 820 North French Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Collin Wong Bureau of Medi-Cal Fraud Office of the Attorney General 1425 River Park Drive Ste. 300 Sacramento, CA 95815

L Timothy Terry

Office of the Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701

Randall L. Clouse Medicaid Fraud Control Unit 900 East Main St. 5th Floor Richmond, VA 23219

Steven Fermon Medicaid Fraud Control Unit Illinois State Police 200 Isles Park Place Ste. 230 Springfield, IL 62703

Robert Spagnoletti District of Columbia Attorney's Office Office of Corporation Counsel 441 4th St., NW Washington, DC 20001

W Rick Copeland Medicaid Fraud Control Unit Office of the Attorney General Austin, TX 78711

Jerry Kilgore Virginia Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 900 E. Main St. 5th Floor Richmond, VA 23219

Susan Kennedy Medicaid Fraud Control Unit Office of DC Inspector General Washington, DC 20005

Robert Schlafly Medicaid Fraud Control Unit Bureau of Investigations 901 R.S. Gass Blvd. Nashville, TN 37216

Paul G. Summers Tennessee Attorney General 500 Charlotte Avenue Nashville, TN 37243

Bill Lockyear Office of the Attorney General 1300 I Street Ste. 1740 Sacramento, CA 95814

Gary K. Senega Deputy Attorney General State of Hawaii 333 Queen Street 10th Floor Honolulu, HI 96813

Greg Abbott Texas Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Capital Station, PO BOX 12548 Austin, TX 78711

/s/ John A. Bruegger